

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

The Demise of Male Chauvinism

FR

Policy and Plans Group

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

25 February 1983

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/PPG

2/25/83

D

Attached is the revision of the Notice to Spouses presented by and discussed with the C/RAD. It is a considerable improvement; not a great Notice, but a good Notice. [] will still get questions, but they should involve requests for more information rather than translation into English. Per her suggestion, we need take no further action. Our silence is concurrence.

2.

2/25

K

REGISTRY

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

3. Registry material attached. File as a package. []

053 0276

Page Denied

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied

28 JAN 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Retirement Affairs Division
Office of Personnel

FROM:

Chief, Policy and Plans Group
Office of Security

SUBJECT: Draft Notice to Spouses of All On-Duty Employees,
forwarded 26 January 1983


1. This is in response to your requests to Messrs. [redacted]
[redacted] to review and return any comments concerning
Subject. Both addressees are members of the Policy and Plans
Group and this response represents their shared opinion.

2. There is no objection to the format of the draft, as it
concerns sterility and an acceptable vehicle of communication
that does not violate cover and security considerations.

3. With this endorsement, you may wish to consider
substance of the draft in terms of functional utility. It is
realized that the notice is designed to satisfy a legal
obligation and that the safest legal approach to meet the goal is
to present the language of the statute. However, the convoluted
language and structure of the statute is such that very few
people other than attorneys can understand the message we are
trying to convey. As written, the draft is confusing and in some
instances, contradictory. Examples:

OS 3 0270

STAT



4. As stated above, there is no security objection to the draft. The comments relating to substance as offered for what they are worth to gain clarity, avoid confusion and reduce the number of inquiries that will be directed to you by spouses and employees if the notice is disseminated in its present form.

15/

STAT



Distribution:

Orig - Adse

① - OS Registry

1 - PPG Chrono

OS/P&M/PP

(28 January 1983)

STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Former Spouse (Notification)

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

26 January 1983

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1.

OS

GE-31 Hqs. Bldg.

2.

3.

4.

5.

C/ROB/RAD

906 Ames Bldg.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Please review and return as soon as possible with your comments.

PRIORITYForm No.
1 Dec 56

160

Use Previous Editions

(13)

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied